### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTIO	ON	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/051007			international filing date 03.06.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06.06.2003			
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01S15/87							
Applicant THALES ET AL.								
	This sense is the			and the state of the state of	late matienal Declinians, Expending Authority			
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2.	This REPORT of	onsists of a total of 4	sheets, including this o	over sheet.				
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:							
	a. 🛛 (sent t	o the applicant and to	the International Bureau)	a total of 2 sheets	, as follows:			
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administra Instructions).							
					ders contain an amendment that goes beyond em 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.			
	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) _, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).							
4.	This report conta	ains indications relating	to the following items:		4 44 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
	☑ Box No. I	Basis of the report						
	□ Box No. II Priority							
1	☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	□ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention							
	Box No. V Reasoned statement according to Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents c	ited	·				
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application							
	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Dat	te of submission o	f the demand		Date of completion of	of this report			
	01.04.2005			16.06.2005				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA				Authorized officer				
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2				Blondel, F				
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Netherlands Tel: +31-70 340-2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31-70 340-3016				Telephone No. +31	70 340-2611			

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051007

Вох	No.	l.	Basis of the report		
1.	Witl	h rega I, unia	ard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ess otherwise indicated under this item.		
	This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language insert language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:				
			international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
			publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4).		
			international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).		
2.	bee	n fun	ard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have nished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as y filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
		1	the international application as originally filed		
	Description, pages				
	1-9		as originally filed		
	Cla	ims, I	No.		
	1-12	2	received 07.04.2005 with the letter of 01.04.2005		
	Drawings, sheets				
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed		
		a s	equence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.		
3.		The	amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, Nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
4.	not		s report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the oplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)):		
			the description, pages		
			the claims, Nos.		
			the drawings, sheets/figs		
			the sequence listing (specify):		
			any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
•	If ite	em 4 a	applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."		

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/051007

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty	Yes:	Claims	1-12
,	No:	Claims	None
Inventive Step	Yes:	Claims	1-12
•	No:	Claims	None
Industrial Applicability	Yes:	Claims	1-12
•	No:	Claims	None

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

See separate sheet

1.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/051007

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

### Concerning point V

It is clearly apparent that the subject matter of the new reworked claims has never yet been disclosed or even suggested by any of the documents cited belonging to the prior art relating to this patent application. In consequence of which, it is apparent that the combination of the technical characteristics of the independent system claim 1 is not included within the prior art and neither does it stem therefrom in an obvious manner. The subject matter of the independent device claim 1 would therefore appear to be able to be considered as novel and inventive (articles 33 (2) and 33 (3) PCT).

The same comment may also be addressed against the content and the subject matter of the application claim 12, "mutatis mutandis".

The system claims 2 to 11 appear to depend directly or indirectly on the system claim 1 and hence also to satisfy, as they stand, the conditions required by the PCT as regards novelty and inventive step.